UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Stephen King	Case Number: 99-90025
		Defendant	
dete		ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 n of the defendant pending trial in this ca	U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the ase.
			Part I—Findings of Fact
	(1)	or local offense that would have been a a crime of violence as defined in 18 an offense for which the maximum	se described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is 3 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). sentence is life imprisonment or death. erm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after t	he defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
		§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	
		The offense described in finding (1) wa	s committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. s elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	(4)		a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the ommunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
			Alternative Findings (A)
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that for which a maximum term of improvement of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	the defendant has committed an offense risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	(2)		
_	(1)		Alternative Findings (B)
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendar There is a serious risk that the defendar	at will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
			supervised release conditions, and has failed to report to probation since September arning the Marshals were looking for him or a month ago.
		Part	II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
		d that the credible testimony and inform of the evidence that	ation submitted at the hearing establishes by
		lant has failed to demonstrate by cleauired by F R. CR. D. 32.1 and 46(c) a	ar and convincing evidence that he is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the community and 18 USC 31/431.
to the reas Gov	ne ex onat ernn	tent practicable, from persons awaiting ble opportunity for private consultation v	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the ons facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
		June 1, 2005	s/Steven D. Pepe
Date			Signature of Judge

STEVEN D. PEPE, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).